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## Introduction

- Effective chiral quark theories are powerful tools to
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* Summary describe quark distributions and structure functions of nucleons.
- Nuclear EMC effect showed: Nucleon properties are modified in the medium $\Rightarrow$ Use chiral quark theories to assess also medium modifications.
- Our earlier work: Interesting results and predictions for the unpolarized and polarized EMC ratios for $N \simeq Z$ nuclei:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(x) & =\frac{F_{2 A}\left(x_{A}\right)}{Z F_{2 p}(x)+N F_{2 n}(x)} \stackrel{\text { NR,no-medium }}{\longrightarrow} 1 \\
R_{s}^{H}(x) & ={\frac{g_{1 A}^{H}\left(x_{A}\right)}{P_{p}^{H} g_{1 p}(x)+P_{n}^{H} g_{1 n}(x)}}^{\text {NR,no-medium }} 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $x_{A}=A \frac{Q^{2}}{2 M_{A}{ }^{\nu}}=x \frac{M_{N}}{M_{A} / A} \equiv x M_{N} / \bar{M}_{N}$, and $H=J_{z}$ is the helicity of nucleus $A$.
Here: We point out some interesting effects for $N \neq Z$ !

## Previous results: EMC in finite nuclei
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Spin independent case: Nuclear vector potential leads to rescaling of Bjorken $x$, and plays the essential role!

Spin dependent case: Nuclear scalar potential (smaller quark mass) leads to quenching of quark spin sum and enhancement of quark orbital angular momentum!

## Previous results: EMC in nuclear matter
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"Transverse EMC ratio" is defined here by replacing $\Delta q(x) \rightarrow \Delta_{T} q(x)$ in the spin-dependent EMC ratio.


## Model: Single nucleon

- Effective quark theory for single nucleon:
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## \& Model
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Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model, quark-diquark description based on the Faddeev method.
(see: N. Ishii et al, NPA 587 (1995) 617.)
We include scalar $\left(0^{+}\right)$and axial vector $\left(1^{+}\right)$diquarks.

- Quark distributions $\left(q_{N}(x), \Delta q_{N}(x), \Delta_{T} q_{N}(x)\right)$ in the nucleon calculated from Feynman diagrams

where $X=\left(\gamma^{+}, \gamma^{+} \gamma_{5}, \gamma^{+} \gamma^{1} \gamma_{5}\right) \delta\left(x-\frac{k_{-}}{p_{-}}\right)$.
Good agreement with empirical parametrizations!
Scalar and vector nuclear mean fields can be included in the quark propagators!


## Model: Nuclear matter

- Nuclear matter equation of state constructed in mean
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## \& Model
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$$
E(M)=E_{\mathrm{vac}}(M)+\gamma_{N} \int^{p_{F}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} k}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \sqrt{M_{N}(M)^{2}+k^{2}}+E_{\omega}
$$

$M_{N}(M) \ldots$ nucleon mass vs. constituent quark mass.

- For $N \neq Z$, an isovector vector field $\left(\rho^{0}\right)$ is included.
- After minimization, one obtains all masses as functions of density $\Rightarrow$ Calculate quark distributions in the nucleon, nucleon distributions in medium (s. diagram below), and (by convolution) quark distributions in medium.


Operator insertion (spin indep. case): $\gamma^{+} \delta\left(y_{A}-\frac{\epsilon_{p}+p^{3}}{\bar{M}_{N}}\right)$, where $\epsilon_{p}=$ nucleon energy, $\bar{M}_{N}=$ mass per nucleon.

## Binding energy of nuclear matter
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Mean vector fields: $\quad \omega^{0}=2 G_{\omega}\left\langle\psi^{\dagger} \psi\right\rangle, \quad \rho^{0}=2 G_{\rho}\left\langle\psi^{\dagger} \tau_{3} \psi\right\rangle$ Vector potentials: $\quad V_{p(n)}=3 \omega^{0} \pm \rho^{0}, \quad V_{u(d)}=\omega^{0} \pm \rho^{0}$ $G_{\omega} \Leftrightarrow$ saturation point for $Z=N, \quad G_{\rho} \Leftrightarrow$ symmetry energy.

## Effective masses in nuclear matter
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$M \ldots$ constituent quark mass $\quad\left(M=m-2 G_{\pi}\langle\bar{\psi} \psi\rangle\right)$
$M_{s(a)} \ldots$ scalar (axial vector) diquark mass (pole of $q q$ t-matrix) $M_{N} \ldots$ nucleon mass (pole of $q$-diquark t-matrix).


## Distributions in nuclear matter $\left(r_{p n}=Z / N\right)$
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- In-medium distributions softer than free ones: Binding effect on quark level.
- For $N>Z$, u-quarks feel additional binding (symmetry energy!) $\Rightarrow$ larger medium effects for u-quarks in neutron rich matter.


## EMC in nuclear matter: Dependence on $Z / N$
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- Case $N>Z$ : When matter becomes neutron-rich, medium-modification of u-quarks increases, but their number decreases $\Rightarrow$ EMC effect becomes more pronounced as $Z / N$ decreases from 1 to 0.6 , but for $Z / N<0.6$ the EMC effect becomes smaller because d-quarks begin to dominate.
- Case $N<Z$ : When matter becomes proton-rich, medium modification of u-quarks decreases and their number increases $\Rightarrow$ EMC effect becomes smaller.


## Simple estimate of the isospin dependence

Make this argument a bit more quantitative:

- Assume: EMC effect $\propto$ binding energy of quarks ( $E_{u}, E_{d}$ ) weighted by their numbers and squared charges:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(\beta) \equiv \text { const } \times\left(4 N_{u} E_{u}+N_{d} E_{d}\right) \text {, where } \\
& \beta=(N-Z) / A \text {. } \\
& \text { - Use } E_{q}=M_{0}-\mu_{q} \text {, where } M_{0}=400 \mathrm{MeV} \text {, and the }
\end{aligned}
$$ chemical potentials follow from energy density as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{d(u)}=\frac{1}{3} \bar{M}_{N} \pm 2 \beta a_{4}, \text { where } \bar{M}_{N}=(940-15) \mathrm{MeV} \\
& a_{4}=30 \mathrm{MeV} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Note: Maximum at $\beta=0.44$ ( $Z / N=0.4$ ).

## Paschos-Wolfenstein Ratio (1)

In 2002, the NuTeV collaboration measured the ratio

$$
R=\frac{\sigma(\nu \mathrm{Fe} \rightarrow \nu \mathrm{X})-\sigma(\bar{\nu} \mathrm{Fe} \rightarrow \bar{\nu} \mathrm{X})}{\sigma\left(\nu \mathrm{Fe} \rightarrow \mu^{-} \mathrm{X}\right)-\sigma\left(\bar{\nu} \mathrm{Fe} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mathrm{X}\right)}=\frac{\mathrm{NC}}{\mathrm{CC}}
$$

(All cross sections integrated over Bjorken- $x$ and $y=q_{0} / E$, $Q^{2} \simeq 20 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.) In terms of nuclear valence quark distributions,

$$
R=\frac{\int \mathrm{d} x_{A} x_{A}\left(\alpha u_{A}\left(x_{A}\right)+\beta d_{A}\left(x_{A}\right)\right)}{\int \mathrm{d} x_{A} x_{A}\left(d_{A}\left(x_{A}\right)-\frac{1}{3} u_{A}\left(x_{A}\right)\right)}
$$

where $\alpha=\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{2}{3} \sin ^{2} \Theta_{W}\right), \beta=\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{3} \sin ^{2} \Theta_{W}\right)$.
For small isospin asymmetry,

$$
R=R_{0}+\left(1-\frac{7}{3} \sin ^{2} \Theta_{W}\right) \frac{f_{-}}{f_{+}} \equiv R_{0}+\delta R
$$

where $R_{0}=\frac{1}{2}-\sin ^{2} \Theta_{W}, \quad f_{ \pm}=\int \mathrm{d} x_{A} x_{A}\left(u_{A} \pm d_{A}\right)$.

## Paschos-Wolfenstein Ratio (2)

- NuTeV estimated $f_{-} / f_{+}$by using "free" parton
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* Summary distributions $\left(q_{A f}=Z q_{p f}+N q_{n f}, q=u, d\right)$, and extracted $\sin ^{2} \Theta_{W}$. Their result was $\sin ^{2} \Theta_{W}=0.2277$, which is different from Standard Model value $\sin ^{2} \Theta_{W}=0.2227$.
( $\Rightarrow$ "NuTeV anomaly": $R-\delta_{f} R \neq \frac{1}{2}-\sin ^{2} \Theta_{W}$.)
- However: Use the Standard Model value of $\sin ^{2} \Theta_{W}$ ( $\Rightarrow R_{0}=0.2773$ ), but take into account medium ("med") and charge symmetry breaking ("csb") corrections: $\delta R=\delta_{f} R+\delta_{\text {med }} R+\delta_{\text {csb }} R$. Then we get for $R-\delta_{f} R=R_{0}+\delta_{\mathrm{med}} R+\delta_{\mathrm{csb}} R:$

| free | + med | + csb $^{*}$ | NuTeV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.2773 | 0.2741 | 0.2724 | 0.2723 |

* Arising from $m_{d}>m_{u}$, from: J.T. Londergan, Eur. Phys. J. A 32 (2007) 415.
- Result: Measured PW ratio is consistent with the Standard Model value of $\sin ^{2} \Theta_{W}$ : There is no "anomaly".


## Parity - violating (PV) EMC effect (1)
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Compare parton model expressions for usual EMC ratio and PV EMC ratio ( $\gamma-Z$ interference effect):

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{\gamma} & =\frac{F_{2 A}}{F_{2 A, \text { naive }}}=\frac{4 u_{A}+d_{A}}{4 u_{A f}+d_{A f}} \\
R^{\gamma Z} & =\frac{F_{2 A}^{\gamma Z}}{F_{2 A, \text { naive }}^{\gamma Z}}=\frac{1.15 u_{A}+d_{A}}{1.15 u_{A f}+d_{A f}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Remember: $q_{A f}=Z q_{p f}+N q_{n f}, \quad q=u, d$.)

- For $N=Z$ and Coulomb neglected:

$$
u_{A}=d_{A} \Rightarrow R^{\gamma Z}=R^{\gamma} .
$$

- For $N>Z: u_{A}<d_{A}$, but $u_{A}$ has stronger medium modifications because of additional binding from symmetry energy. (Remember: This was the reason why usual EMC effect increases as $Z / N$ decreases from 1 to 0.6.)
- The ratio $R^{\gamma Z}$ is less dominated by $u$ quarks $\Rightarrow \mathrm{PV}$ EMC effect will decrease as $Z / N$ decreases.
$F_{2}^{\gamma}$ and $F_{2}^{\gamma Z} \quad\left(r_{p n}=Z / N\right)$


$$
R^{\gamma}=\frac{4 u_{A}+d_{A}}{4 u_{A f}+d_{A f}}, \quad R^{\gamma Z}=\frac{1.15 u_{A}+d_{A}}{1.15 u_{A f}+d_{A f}} .
$$

## PV DIS $a_{1}$ ratios
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Single-spin asymmetry (arising from vector part of quark current) in PV DIS is proportional to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1} & \equiv \frac{F_{2}^{\gamma Z}}{F_{2}^{\gamma}} \simeq 2.11 \frac{1.15 u_{A}(x)+d_{A}(x)}{4 u_{A}(x)+d_{A}(x)} \\
\Rightarrow \frac{a_{1}}{a_{1, \text { naive }}} & =\frac{R^{\gamma Z}}{R^{\gamma}}>1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This ratio is expected to increase with increasing isospin asymmetry.



## Summary
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For nuclear systems with neutron excess, the symmetry energy leads to additional attraction (repulsion) for up (down) quarks. This gives rise to interesting new medium modifications:

- EMC effect increases with increasing isospin asymmetry (in the range $0.6<\frac{Z}{N}<1$ ).
- "NuTeV anomaly" (Paschos-Wolfenstein ratio for $\nu-A$ DIS) is no longer an anomaly: The experimental PW ratio can be explained by nuclear effects arising from neutron excess, and charge symmetry breaking effects.
- Parity violating EMC effect is predicted to be different from the usual EMC effect. Single-spin asymmetries are expected to increase with increasing isospin asymmetry.

