Aspects of parton energy loss in cold nuclear matter

François Arleo

LAPTH, Annecy

Workshop on high-energy hadron physics with hadron beams

KEK – January 2010

## Outline

### Motivations

- why energy loss
- why cold nuclear matter
- Energy loss in various observables
  - Drell-Yan production in p A collisions
  - hadron production in semi-inclusive DIS
- Revisiting energy loss
  - $J/\psi$  production in p A collisions
  - induced gluon radiation associated to a hard process

### References

Recent review: A. Accardi, FA, W. Brooks, D. d'Enterria, V. Muccifora, 0907.3534

• FA, S. Peigné, T. Sami, in preparation

## Energy loss and gluon radiation

### Multiple soft collisions of the hard parton

• Gluon radiation  $dI/d\omega$  proportional to the medium density



Baier, Dokshitzer, Mueller, Peigné, Schiff 1996, 1997
 Gyulassy, Wang 1994; Gyulassy, Lévai, Vitev 2000
 Zakharov 1996 1997 1998; Wiedemann 2000 2001

## Energy loss and gluon radiation

### Multiple soft collisions of the hard parton

• Gluon radiation  $dI/d\omega$  proportional to the medium density



• Energy loss huge in quark-gluon plasma

## Energy loss and gluon radiation

### Multiple soft collisions of the hard parton

• Gluon radiation  $dI/d\omega$  proportional to the medium density



• Energy loss huge in quark-gluon plasma

### How to probe this mechanism?

# Jet quenching



### A clear experimental observable

### Quenching of jets in heavy ion collisions

[Bjorken 1982; Gyulassy & Wang 1992]

/ 21

# Jet quenching



# What about energy loss in cold nuclear matter?

workshop – Jan 2010

• / 21

### Transport coefficient

Typical energy loss is proportional to the transport coefficient  $\hat{q}$  which characterizes the scattering property of the medium [BDMPS 97]

$$\hat{q} = rac{\mu^2}{\lambda}$$

- $\mu$  : typical momentum transfer in single rescattering (of the order of the Debye mass  $m_{_D} \sim gT$ )
- $\lambda$  : radiated gluon mean free path

### Transport coefficient

Typical energy loss is proportional to the transport coefficient  $\hat{q}$  which characterizes the scattering property of the medium [BDMPS 97]

Energy loss in cold nuclear matter (medium length L)

$$-\Delta E = \frac{\alpha_s \ C_R}{4} \ \hat{q} \ L^2$$

Relationship beween energy loss and momentum broadening

$$-\frac{dE}{dz} = \frac{\alpha_s \ N_c}{4} \langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle$$

- also correct for hot matter
- independent of the nature of the parton

### Perturbative estimates

#### [ BDMPS 97 ]

### Cold matter

$$\hat{q} = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha_s N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \rho \times G(x, Q^2) \simeq 0.02 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$$
$$-dE/dz \simeq 0.1 \text{ GeV}/\text{fm}\left(\frac{L}{5 \text{ fm}}\right)$$

Hot matter (e.g. T = 250 MeV)

 $\mu \sim 500 \text{ MeV}, \ \lambda \sim 0.5 \text{ fm} \Rightarrow \hat{q} \simeq 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$ 

Parton energy loss much larger in hot matter than in cold matter

KEK workshop – Jan 2010

5 / 21

## Extracting energy loss from data

Ideal process: Drell-Yan production in p A collisions

$$q^p \; ar q^{\mathcal A} o \gamma^\star o \ell^+ \ell^-$$

- Multiple scattering of the incoming quark in large nuclei
  - allows for checking (in principle) the L dependence of energy loss
- No energy loss in the final state
- Very precise measurements by E866/Nusea
  - wide kinematical range in  $X_F$

## Extracting energy loss from data





E866/NuSea Vasiliev et al. 1999

•  $\alpha \leq 1$ : slight suppression at large  $x_F$ 

#### Is the suppression coming from energy loss?

Francois Arleo (LAPTH)

/ 21

- First attributed as coming from nuclear PDF effects [Vasiliev et al. 1999]
  - shadowing at small  $x_2$  corresponding to large  $x_F$
  - small energy loss: upper limit -dE/dz < 0.5 GeV/fm

Longstanding debate on the origin of the nuclear dependence of E866/NuSea p A data

- First attributed as coming from nuclear PDF effects [Vasiliev et al. 1999]
  - shadowing at small  $x_2$  corresponding to large  $x_F$
  - small energy loss: upper limit -dE/dz < 0.5 GeV/fm

#### Issue

Conclusions were based on the use of EKS98 nPDF set which already included E772 data i.e. same kinematic conditions as E866/NuSea

- Agreement between E866/NuSea and EKS98 somewhat inconclusive
- No room left for energy loss processes

- First attributed as coming from nuclear PDF effects [Vasiliev et al. 1999]
- Later accounted for by significant energy loss effects [ Johnson et al. 2001 ]

$$-\frac{dE}{dz} = 2.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ GeV/fm}$$

- First attributed as coming from nuclear PDF effects [Vasiliev et al. 1999]
- Later accounted for by significant energy loss effects [ Johnson et al. 2001 ]

$$-\frac{dE}{dz} = 2.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ GeV/fm}$$

- E722 and E866/NuSea binned in DY mass
- small shadowing computed within a dipole model



- First attributed as coming from nuclear PDF effects [Vasiliev et al. 1999]
- Later accounted for by significant energy loss effects [ Johnson et al. 2001 ]

$$-\frac{dE}{dz} = 2.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ GeV/fm}$$

- ... disfavoured by older DY measurements [FA 2002]
  - DY data in  $\pi$  A collisions at SPS

### Ingredients of the model

- Computation of DY production in QCD at leading order
- Shift of the momentum fraction x<sub>1</sub> carried by the quark in the projectile proton to account for energy loss processes
- Nuclear shadowing turned on (using EKS98) or off
- Amount of energy loss fitted to various DY data sets
  - E866/NuSea data at FNAL
  - NA3 data at SPS

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(hA)}{\mathrm{d}x_1} &= \frac{8\pi\alpha^2}{9x_1s} \sum_q e_q^2 \int \frac{\mathrm{d}M}{M} \int \mathrm{d}\epsilon \,\mathcal{P}(\epsilon) \\ & \left[ Zf_q^h(x_1 + \Delta x_1) f_{\bar{q}}^{p/A}(x_2) + (A - Z) f_q^h(x_1 + \Delta x_1) f_{\bar{q}}^{n/A}(x_2) \right. \\ & \left. + Zf_{\bar{q}}^h(x_1 + \Delta x_1) f_q^{p/A}(x_2) + (A - Z) f_{\bar{q}}^h(x_1 + \Delta x_1) f_q^{n/A}(x_2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(hA)}{\mathrm{d}x_1} &= \frac{8\pi\alpha^2}{9x_1s} \sum_q e_q^2 \int \frac{\mathrm{d}M}{M} \int \mathrm{d}\epsilon \,\mathcal{P}(\epsilon) \\ & \left[ Zf_q^h(x_1 + \Delta x_1)f_{\bar{q}}^{p/A}(x_2) + (A - Z)f_q^h(x_1 + \Delta x_1)f_{\bar{q}}^{n/A}(x_2) \right. \\ & \left. + Zf_{\bar{q}}^h(x_1 + \Delta x_1)f_q^{p/A}(x_2) + (A - Z)f_{\bar{q}}^h(x_1 + \Delta x_1)f_q^{n/A}(x_2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathcal{P}(\epsilon)$  probability for a hard parton to lose an energy  $\epsilon$ Knowledge of  $\mathcal{P}(\epsilon)$  essential for phenomenology

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(hA)}{\mathrm{d}x_1} &= \frac{8\pi\alpha^2}{9x_1s} \sum_q e_q^2 \int \frac{\mathrm{d}M}{M} \int \mathrm{d}\epsilon \,\mathcal{P}(\epsilon) \\ & \left[ Zf_q^h(x_1 + \Delta x_1)f_{\bar{q}}^{p/A}(x_2) + (A - Z)f_q^h(x_1 + \Delta x_1)f_{\bar{q}}^{n/A}(x_2) \right. \\ & \left. + Zf_{\bar{q}}^h(x_1 + \Delta x_1)f_q^{p/A}(x_2) + (A - Z)f_{\bar{q}}^h(x_1 + \Delta x_1)f_q^{n/A}(x_2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathcal{P}(\epsilon)$  probability for a hard parton to lose an energy  $\epsilon$ Knowledge of  $\mathcal{P}(\epsilon)$  essential for phenomenology

### Problem

How to relate  $\mathcal{P}(\epsilon)$  to the gluon spectrum  $dI/d\omega$  ?

Baier, Dokshitzer, Mueller, Schiff 2001

Francois Arleo (LAPTH)

# Quenching weight $\mathcal{P}(\epsilon)$

Independent gluon radiation  $\rightarrow$  Poisson approximation

Baier, Dokshitzer, Mueller, Schiff 2001



# Quenching weight $\mathcal{P}(\epsilon)$

Independent gluon radiation  $\rightarrow$  Poisson approximation

Baier, Dokshitzer, Mueller, Schiff 2001



Unique ingredient

• medium-induced gluon spectrum  $dl/d\omega$  computed perturbatively (BDMPS) and characterized by the transport coefficient  $\hat{q}$ 

## Numerical calculation

#### Resumation of the Poisson series

Baier, Dokshitzer, Mueller, Schiff 2001

$$\mathcal{P}(\epsilon) = \int_{C} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi i} e^{\nu\epsilon} \times \exp\left[-\nu \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-\nu\omega} N(\omega)\right]$$

with  $N(\omega)$  = gluon multiplicity

$$N\left(\omega
ight)=\int_{\omega}^{\infty}d\omega'\;rac{dI\left(\omega'
ight)}{d\omega'}$$

### Numerical calculation

#### Resumation of the Poisson series

Baier, Dokshitzer, Mueller, Schiff 2001

$$\mathcal{P}(\epsilon) = \int_{C} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi i} e^{\nu\epsilon} \times \exp\left[-\nu \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-\nu\omega} N(\omega)\right]$$

$$\overset{\widetilde{\omega}}{\underset{s}{\overset{\sigma}{\circ}}}^{7} \left[ \underbrace{-\nu \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-\nu\omega} N(\omega)}_{0} \right]$$

Francois Arleo (LAPTH)

### Main results

DY in p A collisions at FNAL ( $\sqrt{s} \simeq 40$  GeV)

- Amount of quark energy loss crucially depends on the poorly known sea-quark shadowing at small x<sub>2</sub>
- No reliable extraction of quark energy loss due to nPDF uncertainties



<sup>[</sup>from Eskola, Paukkunen, Salgado 2009]

- Many global fit analyses (EKS, EPS, HKM, HKN, nDS) and models
- Huge uncertainties at small x and low scales

Francois Arleo (LAPTH)

### Main results

DY in p A collisions at FNAL ( $\sqrt{s} \simeq 40$  GeV)

- Amount of quark energy loss crucially depends on the poorly known sea-quark shadowing at small x<sub>2</sub>
- No reliable extraction of quark energy loss due to nPDF uncertainties
- DY in  $\pi$  A collisions at SPS ( $\sqrt{s} \simeq 20$  GeV)
  - Larger error bars, but...
  - nPDF effects small and well constrained
    - $x_2 = \mathcal{O}\left(10^{-1}
      ight)$  between shadowing and EMC region
    - Valence quark (pion beam) constrained in e A DIS



- Large energy loss disfavoured
- Effects stronger at large x<sub>1</sub> due to phase-space restriction for medium-induced gluon radiation

$$\epsilon < (1 - x_1) E_{\text{beam}}$$

## Results

NA3 fit gives

$$-\frac{dE}{dz} = 0.20 \pm 0.15 \text{ GeV/fm}$$

• Result independent on the assumption regarding nPDF effects (unlike p A collisions at higher energy)

#### Results

NA3 fit gives

$$-\frac{dE}{dz} = 0.20 \pm 0.15 \text{ GeV/fm}$$

• Result independent on the assumption regarding nPDF effects (unlike p A collisions at higher energy)

#### Remarks

Smaller error bars would help tremendously!

• Exciting data to come at FNAL at lower beam energy (E906)

[Garvey Peng 02]

- Complementary results at J-PARC
  - P-04: High mass di-muon measurements in p A collisions

3 / 21

### Results

NA3 fit gives

$$-\frac{dE}{dz} = 0.20 \pm 0.15 \text{ GeV/fm}$$

• Result independent on the assumption regarding nPDF effects (unlike p A collisions at higher energy)

### What about energy loss in hadron production?

## Energy loss in hadron production

Simplest model for medium-modified "fragmentation functions"

• Fragmentation variable z rescaled to a larger value

[Wang, Huang, Sarcevic 96]

$$\times \underbrace{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T}}-\varepsilon}}{\overset{k_{\mathrm{T$$

• Parton energy shifted from  $k_{\perp}$  to  $k_{\perp} - \epsilon$  with probability  $\mathcal{P}(\epsilon, k_{\perp})$ 

(1 -) l

Baier et al. 01

$$zD_k^{hmed}(z,Q^2) = \int_0^{(1-2)\kappa_\perp} d\epsilon \,\mathcal{P}(\epsilon,k_\perp) \, z^* D_k^h(z^*,Q^2)$$

# Energy loss in hadron production

Simplest model for medium-modified "fragmentation functions"

• Fragmentation variable z rescaled to a larger value

[Wang, Huang, Sarcevic 96]

$$\underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{T}} - \mathbf{\epsilon} \\ \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{L}} & \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{L}} - \mathbf{\epsilon} \end{array} }_{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{L}} - \mathbf{\epsilon}} = \frac{\mathbf{z}}{1 - \mathbf{\epsilon} / \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{L}}}$$

• Parton energy shifted from  $\textbf{k}_{\perp}$  to  $\textbf{k}_{\perp} - \epsilon$  with probability  $\mathcal{P}(\epsilon, \textbf{k}_{\perp})$ 

$$zD_k^{hmed}(z,Q^2) = \int_0^{(1-z)k_\perp} d\epsilon \ \mathcal{P}(\epsilon,k_\perp) \ z^* D_k^h(z^*,Q^2)$$

- ullet Hadronization takes places on times scales  $\gg$  medium length
- Medium-induced (perturbative) gluons can also fragment into hadrons
- Explicit dependence on the parton energy
- Rescattering and gluon emission does not affect at all the fragmentation dynamics – no Q<sup>2</sup>-dependence

## Energy loss in semi-inclusive DIS on nuclei

#### Example

Semi-inclusive hadron production in DIS on nuclei:  $e \ A \rightarrow h \ X$ 

$$R_{eA}^{h} = \frac{1}{N_{eA}} \frac{dN_{eA}^{h}(z,\nu)}{d\nu \, dz} \left/ \frac{1}{N_{eD}} \frac{dN_{eD}^{h}(z,\nu)}{d\nu \, dz} \right.$$



### What trends to be expected from the model?

For simplicity let us assume that  $D^h(z) \sim (1-z)^{\eta_i^h}$  at large z

$$R^{h}_{_{\mathrm{eA}}}(z,\nu) \simeq rac{D^{h^{\mathrm{med}}}_{u}(z)}{D^{h}_{u}(z)} \simeq 1 + rac{1}{D^{h}_{u}(z)} rac{\partial D^{h}_{u}}{\partial z} rac{z \,\epsilon}{
u} pprox 1 - \eta^{h}_{u} imes rac{z \,\epsilon}{
u(1-z)}$$

### What trends to be expected from the model?

For simplicity let us assume that  $D^h(z) \sim (1-z)^{\eta_i^h}$  at large z

$${\sf R}^h_{_{
m eA}}(z,
u)\simeq rac{D^h_u{}^{
m med}(z)}{D^h_u(z)}\simeq 1+rac{1}{D^h_u(z)}rac{\partial D^h_u}{\partial z}rac{z\,\epsilon}{
u}pprox 1-\eta^h_u imesrac{z\,\epsilon}{
u(1-z)}$$

• 
$$R_{
m eA} \ll 1$$

- at small parton energy
- at large z due to phase space shrinkage
- Quenching factor sensitive to the logarithmic slope of fragmentation function  $\eta^h_i$ 
  - stronger suppression for gluon induced processes, on top of the  $C_A/C_F$  factor in the energy loss
  - stronger suppression for baryons than for mesons (!)

# Comparison to HERMES data



[FA 2003, HERMES Airapetian et al. 2003]

•  $\nu$  and z dependence well reproduced •  $\eta_u^{K^-} > \eta_u^{K^+}$  leads to a stronger  $K^-$  suppression as seen in HERMES Francois Arleo (LAPTH) Parton energy loss in cold nuclear matter KEK workshop - Jan 2010 17 / 21

### Caveat: nuclear absorption

Inelastic interaction of the produced hadron might play a role too [Kopeliovich et al. 1996, Accardi, Muccifora, Pirner 2003, Falter et al. 2004]

- Somewhat depends on hadronization time scales
- (Pre) hadronic cross sections with nuclear matter poorly constrained

### Caveat: nuclear absorption

Inelastic interaction of the produced hadron might play a role too [Kopeliovich et al. 1996, Accardi, Muccifora, Pirner 2003, Falter et al. 2004]

- Somewhat depends on hadronization time scales
- (Pre) hadronic cross sections with nuclear matter poorly constrained

Recent effort to disentangle energy loss and nuclear absorption





### New results

Recent measurements on  $\langle k_{\perp} \rangle$  broadening of produced hadrons in e A semi-inclusive DIS (HERMES, CLAS)



van Haarlem, Jgoun, Di Nezza 2007

### New results

Recent measurements on  $\langle k_{\perp} \rangle$  broadening of produced hadrons in e A semi-inclusive DIS (HERMES, CLAS)



van Haarlem, Jgoun, Di Nezza 2007

Sensitive to details of hadronization dynamics

[Accardi 2008, Domdey, Kopeliovich, Pirner 2008]

### $J/\psi$ production in p A collisions

- Very precise measurements
  - E866/NuSea at FNAL ( $\sqrt{s} = 40$  GeV)
  - PHENIX at RHIC ( $\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ )



[ E866/NuSea 1999 ]

- Significant  $J/\psi$  suppression
- Much larger than in the DY channel

Francois Arleo (LAPTH)

### Some explanations

- Nuclear absorption
  - could explain mid-rapidity  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi'$  suppression
  - requires unrealistically large cross sections to explain large  $x_F$  data
- Nuclear PDF effects (or saturation)
  - disfavoured by lack of x<sub>2</sub> scaling
- Intrinsic charm
  - $J/\psi$  production from  $|uudc\bar{c}\rangle$  soft scattering on nuclei
  - requires high charm content disfavoured by  $F_2^c$  data
- Parton energy loss
  - successful explanation assuming  $-\Delta E \propto E$
  - violates bound on energy loss in a finite length medium

Brodsky, Hoyer 1993

Brodsky Hoyer 1989

Gavin, Milana 1992

### Some explanations

- Nuclear absorption
  - could explain mid-rapidity  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi'$  suppression
  - requires unrealistically large cross sections to explain large  $x_F$  data
- Nuclear PDF effects (or saturation)
  - disfavoured by lack of x<sub>2</sub> scaling
- Intrinsic charm
  - $J/\psi$  production from  $|uudc\bar{c}\rangle$  soft scattering on nuclei
  - requires high charm content disfavoured by  $F_2^c$  data
- Parton energy loss
  - successful explanation assuming  $-\Delta E \propto E$
  - violates bound on energy loss in a finite length medium

Brodsky, Hoyer 1993

Let us reconsider energy loss processes

[FA, S. Peigné, T. Sami]

Brodsky Hoyer 1989

Gavin, Milana 1992

#### 2 cases

()  $t_{_{prod}} = 0 \Rightarrow$  accelerated charge radiates :  $\Delta E_{\mathrm{vac}} \neq 0$ 

$$\Delta E_{\rm ind} = \Delta E_{\rm med} - \Delta E_{\rm vac}$$

2  $t_{prod} = -\infty \Rightarrow$  on-shell particle doesn't radiate in vacuum :  $\Delta E_{vac} = 0$ 

$$\Delta E_{\rm ind} = \Delta E_{\rm tot}$$

#### 2 cases

()  $t_{_{prod}} = 0 \Rightarrow$  accelerated charge radiates :  $\Delta E_{\mathrm{vac}} \neq 0$ 

$$\Delta E_{\rm ind} = \Delta E_{\rm med} - \Delta E_{\rm vac}$$

2  $t_{prod} = -\infty \Rightarrow$  on-shell particle doesn't radiate in vacuum :  $\Delta E_{vac} = 0$ 

$$\Delta E_{\rm ind} = \Delta E_{\rm tot}$$

- Case 1 is the usual picture jet quenching picture
- Case 2 is more natural in QED (no confinement)

 $t_{\rm prod}=0~{\rm case}$ 



• In the difference  $\Delta E_{med} - \Delta E_{vac}$  only the gluon emissions with small formation time contribute

$$t_f \simeq rac{\omega}{k_\perp^2} \lesssim L \ \Rightarrow \omega \lesssim \hat{q} \ L^2$$

leading to  $\Delta E$  independent of E

• The Brodsky-Hoyer bound applies only in this case!

"
$$t_{_{prod}}=-\infty$$
" case



- Gluon emission is here dominated by large formation times  $t_f \gg L$
- Associated radiation arises from interference before and after the hard vertex → extra gluon radiation cannot be identified with ΔE<sub>parton</sub>
- However radiated energy  $\Delta E_{ind}$  exhibits the same parametric dependence as  $\Delta E_{rad}$  of an asymptotic massive parton

"
$$t_{_{prod}} = -\infty$$
" case



### Consequences

- $\Delta E_{\text{ind}}$  scales like E (i.e.  $x_1$ )
- Should strongly affect processes at forward rapidities for which amplitudes before and after the hard vertex interfere
  - $J/\psi$ , open charm, light hadron (with some  $p_{\perp}$ )...
  - and not in Drell-Yan, DIS (large z)

### Parton energy loss

• powerful tool to investigate the scattering properties of nuclear matter and QGP

### • Energy loss in nuclear matter

- DY production as a sensitive probe of quark energy loss
- current situation needs to be clarified with precise data at lower beam energy (e.g. E906 at FNAL and P-04 at J-PARC)
- wealth of data in SIDIS consistent with DY and small energy loss

### New considerations

- needs to consider in some cases the associated radiation to a hard process in vacuum/medium instead of "parton energy loss"
- would qualitatively explain the nuclear dependence of  $J/\psi$  (and open charm) production in p A collisions