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## Introduction

Primary concern of the talk is:
$\triangleright A_{1}^{(10)}$ term of $\alpha^{5}$ correction of electron anomalous magnetic moment.
$\triangleright$ Automated scheme for diagrams with no closed lepton loops.

- Anomalous magnetic moment is the best source of $\alpha$, and the most stringent test of QED as well.
- From recent improvement of measurement (Harvard Univ.), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (pure guess) } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\alpha^{-1}\left(a_{e}\right)=137.035999708(12)(31)(68) \\
\left(\alpha^{4}\right)\left(\alpha^{5}\right)(\text { expr }) \\
\text { Preliminary. } \\
\text { Do not quote until published. }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

- cf. Kinoshita's talk.
- Reliable estimates of $\alpha^{5}$ term should be requested.
- $A_{1}$ term is QED correction purely due to electron contributions. It is evaluated by perturbation theory in terms of $\alpha$ :

$$
A_{1}=A_{1}^{(2)}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)+A_{1}^{(4)}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{2}+A_{1}^{(6)}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{3}+\cdots
$$

$\alpha^{5}$ contribution is denoted by $A_{1}^{(10)}$.

- The number of diagrams contributing to $A_{1}^{(10)}$ is 12672 .
- They are classified into 32 gauge invariant groups within 6 distinct sets.


## Classification of 10th order diagrams



## Obstacles in set V diagrams

Set V consists of 6354 Feynman diagrams that have no closed lepton loops.

- 9 vertex diagrams are related to 1 self-energy diagram by the Ward-Takahashi identity:

$$
\Lambda^{\nu}(p, q) \simeq-q_{\mu}\left[\frac{\partial \Lambda^{\mu}(p, q)}{\partial q_{\nu}}\right]_{q \rightarrow 0}-\frac{\partial \Sigma(p)}{\partial p_{\nu}}
$$

e.g. 4th order case:


By this, the number of diagrams reduces to 706.

- Time reversal invariance reduces further to 389.
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## Each diagram is known to have a large number of UV divergent parts, and is difficult to construct.

Maximally 47 UV subtraction terms are required.

## e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta M_{\mathrm{x} 001}=M_{\mathrm{x} 001} \\
& -L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{\mathrm{m} 01}\left(\ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \ell_{5}, \ell_{6}, \ell_{7}, \ell_{8}, \ell_{9}\right)-L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{\mathrm{m} 01}\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \ell_{5}, \ell_{6}, \ell_{7}\right)-L_{4 \mathrm{aiv}} M_{6 \mathrm{f}}\left(\ell_{5}, \ell_{6}, \ell_{7}, \ell_{8}, \ell_{9}\right)-L_{4 \mathrm{aiv}} M_{6 \mathrm{f}}\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \ell_{5}\right) \\
& -L_{6 f 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{4 \mathrm{a}}\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}\right)-L_{6 f 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{4 \mathrm{a}}\left(\ell_{7}, \ell_{8}, \ell_{9}\right)-L_{\mathrm{m} 01 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{1}\right)-L_{\mathrm{m} 011 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{9}\right) \\
& +L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{6 \mathrm{f}}\left(\ell_{5}, \ell_{6}, \ell_{7}, \ell_{8}, \ell_{9}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{6 \mathrm{f}}\left(\ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \ell_{5}, \ell_{6}, \ell_{7}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{6 \mathrm{f}}\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \ell_{5}\right) \\
& +L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{4 \mathrm{a}}\left(\ell_{7}, \ell_{8}, \ell_{9}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{4 \mathrm{a}}\left(\ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \ell_{5}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{aiv}} M_{4 \mathrm{a}}\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}\right) \\
& +L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{aiv}} M_{4 \mathrm{a}}\left(\ell_{7}, \ell_{8}, \ell_{9}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{aiv}} M_{4 \mathrm{a}}\left(\ell_{5}, \ell_{6}, \ell_{7}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{4 \mathrm{a}}\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}\right) \\
& +L_{2 v} L_{6 f 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{3}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{6 \mathrm{flv}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{9}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{6 \mathrm{flv}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{1}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{6 \mathrm{flv}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{9}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{6 \mathrm{ffv}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{1}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{6 \mathrm{flv}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{7}\right) \\
& +L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{1}\right)+L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{5}\right)+L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{9}\right) \\
& -L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{4 \mathrm{a}}\left(\ell_{7}, \ell_{8}, \ell_{9}\right)-L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{4 \mathrm{a}}\left(\ell_{5}, \ell_{6}, \ell_{7}\right)-L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{4 \mathrm{a}}\left(\ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \ell_{5}\right)-L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{4 \mathrm{a}}\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}\right) \\
& -L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{5}\right)-L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{9}\right)-L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{3}\right)-L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{9}\right) \\
& -L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{3}\right)-L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{7}\right)-L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{1}\right)-L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{1}\right) \\
& -L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{aiv}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{9}\right)-L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{aiv}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{7}\right)-L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{aiv}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{1}\right)-L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{4 \mathrm{aiv}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{5}\right) \\
& +L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{9}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{7}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{5}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{3}\right)+L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} L_{2 \mathrm{v}} M_{2}\left(\ell_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Some automated scheme is required to get rid of human errors.


## Diagrams without lepton loops

Our subjects are 1PI self-energy diagrams without closed lepton loops. They have quite simple structure:
(1) All lepton propagators form a single path.
(2) All vertices lie on the lepton path.
(3) Photon propagators contract pairs of vertices.


A diagram is represented by "pattern of contraction".

$\{(1,3)(2,4)\}$

$\{(1,4)(2,3)\}$


Everything about a diagram is contained in this simple expression.

Therefore,

- We can generate all diagrams by combinatorics of contractions.
- Independent set of closed paths on a diagram are easily identified. (they are used for constructing Feynman integrals.)
- Subdiagrams relevant for UV divergence are easily identified.
$\Longrightarrow$ Automated procedure will readily be implemented.


## General formalism

Evaluating a diagram:

- Amplitude

Integration over loop momentum $k_{r}$ is converted into
Feynman parametric integrals over $\left\{z_{i}\right\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{i} \Sigma_{\mathrm{G}} & =(i e)^{2 n}\left[\prod_{r=1}^{n} \int \frac{d^{4} k_{r}}{(2 \pi)^{4}}\right] \gamma^{\mu_{1}} \frac{i}{p_{1}-m} \cdots \frac{i}{\not p_{2 n-1}-m} \gamma^{\mu_{2 n}} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \frac{-i g_{\mu_{i} \mu_{j}}}{k_{r}{ }^{2}} \\
& =\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{4^{n}} \Gamma(n-1) \int(d z)_{G} \mathbb{F} \frac{1}{U^{2} V^{n-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Subtracting divergences
- UV divergence
- IR divergence


## Amplitude

Feynman parametric integral over 13 dimensional space:

$$
\frac{1}{i} \Sigma_{\mathrm{G}}=\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{4^{n}} \Gamma(n-1) \int(d z)_{G}\left[\frac{F_{0}\left(B_{i j}, A_{j}\right)}{U^{2} V^{n-1}}+\frac{F_{1}\left(B_{i j}, A_{j}\right)}{U^{3} V^{n-2}}+\cdots\right]
$$

- Integrand is expressed by $B_{i j}, A_{i}, U, V$
- Building blocks $B_{i j}, A_{i}, U, V$ are homogeneous forms of Feynman parameters $\left\{z_{i}\right\}$.
$B_{i j}$ : Related to loop momenta. They are determined by the topology of diagram.
$A_{i}$ : Related to flow of external momenta. They are the currents satisfying "Kirchhoff law".

Expressions of integrand and building blocks are obtained analytically by Computer Algebra System, FORM, Maple, etc.

## Subtracting divergences

The original integral is divergent and must be renormalized.

- Requirements:
- Numerical approach is taken.
$\longrightarrow$ must be a finite value.
- Diagram-by-diagram evaluation.
- Our strategy:
- Intermediate renormalization scheme in 3 steps:
(1) K-operation for UV divergence.
(2) I-operation for IR divergence.
(3) residual renormalization to realize on-shell renormalization.
- Numerical point-wise subtraction.

Prepare subtraction term as an integral defined on the same parameter space as the original

## UV subtraction

- We employ Zimmermann's forest formula to subtract UV divergent parts $X_{f}$ associated with forest $f$, and obtain finite part $\Delta M_{G}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta M_{G} & =M_{G}-\sum_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} X_{f} \\
& \equiv \int(d z)_{G}\left[J_{G}-\sum_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} \mathbb{K}_{f} J_{G}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- We prepare UV subtraction terms $\mathbb{K}_{f} J_{G}$ in the same Feynman parameter space as the original integrand $J_{G}$, so that they cancel out singularities of $J_{G}$ point-by-point.
- This setup is crucial for numerical integration.


## K-operation and Forest formula

- Subtraction term $\mathbb{K} J_{G}$ associated with a divergent subdiagram $S$ is obtained by $K$-operation acted on $J_{G}$, via simple power counting in the limit:

$$
z_{i} \sim O(\epsilon) \quad z_{i} \in S
$$

Thus UV-divergent part associated with $S$ is extracted $\left(M_{G}^{\mathrm{UV}}\right)$.

- By construction, $M_{G}^{U V}$ analytically factorizes into lower order term $M_{G / S}$ exactly and counter term $\hat{L}_{S}$ by:

$$
M_{G / S} \times \hat{L}_{S}
$$

A forest with multiple of UV divergent subdiagrams is handled by successive operation of $K$-operation.

## Automated flow



## Current status

- We obtained a program to list up all the topologically distinct diagrams without lepton loops.
- Program to generate Feynman parametric integral for each diagram is obtained.
- Integrand
- Building blocks, $B_{i j}, A_{j}$.
- Program for vertex renormalization is obtained.

Program including self-energy subdiagrams is almost done.

- IR subtraction and residual renormalization step are in progress.
- All the steps are applicable to arbitrary order.
- All diagrams which contain only vertex renormalization are being processed by numerical integration (2232 diagrams).


# Diagrams which contains only vertex renormalization are shown below．They correspond to 2232 diagrams of set V． 

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ， | m | fm | mm | \％m | \％m |  | m | \％m |  |  | \％m | \％m |  | \％ |
| $\infty$ |  | \％om | mm |  | \％ | ค | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | m |  | － | \％ | com |  |
|  | ¢ | \％m | m | \％ | ¢ | ¢ | m | \％ | \％ | Ram | \％ | \％ | ค | n |
|  |  | \％ |  | R | \％ | m | m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | m |  | ¢ | ¢ | a |  |  | \％as | \％ | ¢ | \％om | \％om |  |  |
| nom | \％m | R | m | m | ค | om | \％ | \％m | \％ | m | 回 | ¢ |  |  |
|  | \％m | ค | 5 | ค， | ¢m |  | m | R |  | mad |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { com }}$ |  | 5 | （m） |  | 5 | ® | m | m | \％ |  |  |  |
|  | \％ | （10） | 0 | 518 | ， | － | m | \＆ |  | \％ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| ค | mm | （1） | mom | nam | ¢ |  | mm | \＆m | \％m | \％am | \％m | mm | \％ |  |
|  |  |  | \％os | ¢ | ¢ | ค |  | ® | O | \％ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tom | 0 | \％ | ล | $m$ | m | \％ | \％a | \％ | （ras | mm | ［10 |  |
| mmm | 网 | （ram | \％ | ค | ค | \％ | \％ | \％ | \％ | \％m | 田 | \％m |  |  |
|  |  | （am | mm | mm | m | \％ | ค． | \％ | ๑ | \％m | \％ |  |  |  |
|  |  | \％ | m | 0 | ๓ | m | $\mathscr{L}$ | ¢ | ת | \％ |  |  |  |  |
|  | ® | ก足 | mm | cm | m | m | ¢ | ®a | \％ | \％m | 込 | m | Tos |  |
| $\sim$ | R | （1） | 0 mm | m |  | mam | $\square$ | ค | ค | $\bigcirc$ | ก | \％ | mm |  |
|  | ® | ก⿴囗十介 | mm | 0 |  | ค | ¢ | $\infty$ | \％ |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | mm | \％ | O | m | mm | mm |  |  | ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $\cdots$ |  | ก | $\infty$ | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ | \％ | \％ | $\cdots$ |  | Lom |  |  |  |  |
| mm | mm |  | cm | \％ | $\infty$ | m | $\ldots$ |  | ® | \＆ | ¢ |  | \％ |  |
|  | mm |  |  | \％ | m |  | $\cdots$ | m |  | \％ | 5 |  | \％ |  |
|  | mm |  |  |  | $\infty$ |  | \％ | $\ldots$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crude estimates of those diagrams are presented below, just to confirm that renormalization is working.

| X001 | -0.34042 (0.04943) | X116 | 1.79114 (0.00882) | X209 | 0.14436 (0.00400) | X322 | 0.91017 (0.00572) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X003 | -0.11310 (0.01383) | X117 | 0.32171 (0.00533) | X210 | 0.75169 (0.00852) | X343 | 3.87608 (0.00390) |
| X013 | -1.35322 (0.00620) | X118 | -3.18650 (0.01925) | X225 | 0.27706 (0.01599) | X344 | 3.41470 (0.00367) |
| X014 | 0.75314 (0.02153) | X119 | -0.12694 (0.01957) | X231 | -0.72760 (0.00967) | X345 | -1.00102 (0.00288) |
| X015 | 2.10198 (0.00195) | X120 | 1.74905 (0.02757) | X232 | 0.37427 (0.01842) | X346 | 0.28443 (0.00367) |
| X016 | -0.96093 (0.00192) | X121 | -0.86533 (0.00484) | X235 | 0.67593 (0.01684) | X347 | -2.67776 (0.00335) |
| X019 | 1.17519 (0.02029) | X122 | -0.74104 (0.00672) | X259 | 0.01791 (0.00639) | X348 | -0.48587 (0.00376) |
| X021 | -0.29674 (0.00489) | X123 | -3.32503 (0.01328) | X260 | -0.40509 (0.00424) | X349 | 2.08073 (0.00619) |
| X031 | 2.29316 (0.00288) | X125 | 0.73918 (0.03300) | X265 | -0.67469 (0.00388) | X350 | 1.45479 (0.00230) |
| X032 | -0.24265 (0.00127) | X127 | 1.13048 (0.00985) | X266 | 0.11937 (0.00592) | X351 | 0.24490 (0.00340) |
| X033 | -1.37714 (0.00143) | X128 | 0.57693 (0.02218) | X271 | 0.24188 (0.00872) | X352 | -0.13189 (0.00252) |
| X034 | 1.25388 (0.00205) | X129 | 1.41734 (0.02232) | X272 | -0.73345 (0.01469) | X353 | 0.18836 (0.00252) |
| X035 | -0.58384 (0.00142) | X165 | -2.10910 (0.01990) | X275 | -0.74340 (0.00445) | X354 | -2.03177 (0.00439) |
| X037 | -0.74165 (0.00199) | X166 | -2.27775 (0.02188) | X276 | -0.55445 (0.00283) | X355 | -1.05668 (0.00554) |
| X039 | 0.31638 (0.00441) | X172 | 1.36015 (0.03942) | X277 | 2.77770 (0.00265) | X356 | 2.06867 (0.00617) |
| X047 | -4.45507 (0.00326) | X178 | 0.70338 (0.00485) | X278 | -0.14964 (0.00737) | X357 | 0.36337 (0.00367) |
| X048 | -0.80512 (0.00160) | X179 | -0.43781 (0.00341) | X279 | 0.82134 (0.00439) | X358 | 0.03325 (0.00425) |
| X049 | -0.02951 (0.00133) | X180 | 0.02543 (0.00567) | X280 | -1.00961 (0.00464) | X359 | -0.15207 (0.00467) |
| X050 | -1.22223 (0.00176) | X185 | -0.13128 (0.00497) | X281 | -1.37236 (0.00407) | X360 | -0.47233 (0.00563) |
| X051 | -0.17333 (0.00202) | X186 | 1.14242 (0.00878) | X282 | 0.48596 (0.00385) | X361 | 2.52071 (0.01084) |
| X053 | 0.36460 (0.00153) | X195 | -1.06649 (0.00450) | X283 | -0.05080 (0.00561) | X362 | -0.56599 (0.00358) |
| X055 | -0.36339 (0.00142) | X196 | -2.03753 (0.00288) | X284 | -0.27114 (0.00320) | X363 | -2.34078 (0.00262) |
| X076 | -5.19446 (0.03379) | X197 | -0.38704 (0.00222) | X285 | 0.01690 (0.00389) | X364 | 2.38344 (0.00337) |
| X077 | 3.18404 (0.06924) | X198 | -2.33747 (0.00442) | X286 | 0.76614 (0.00587) | X367 | -0.71804 (0.00490) |
| X078 | 0.82179 (0.07104) | X199 | 1.04594 (0.00455) | X287 | 0.17755 (0.01168) | X370 | -1.47907 (0.00453) |
| X091 | -1.85164 (0.07314) | X200 | 0.00793 (0.00703) | X296 | 0.54479 (0.00457) | X371 | -0.00744 (0.00415) |
| X093 | -1.75719 (0.00771) | X201 | -0.48774 (0.00369) | X297 | -0.47919 (0.00468) | X372 | -1.28486 (0.00428) |
| X094 | -1.05792 (0.01610) | X202 | 1.92431 (0.00297) | X303 | 0.32133 (0.00246) | X373 | 0.55778 (0.00697) |
| X095 | 0.57719 (0.00717) | X203 | 0.90371 (0.00233) | X304 | -0.34223 (0.00489) | X376 | 1.03581 (0.00341) |
| X096 | 1.24779 (0.02784) | X204 | -1.91907 (0.00671) | X305 | 0.46192 (0.00397) | X377 | 0.41220 (0.00524) |
| X101 | -0.26275 (0.01629) | X205 | -0.90380 (0.00489) | X313 | 0.94419 (0.00713) | X378 | 1.29109 (0.00583) |
| X102 | -1.43773 (0.05228) | X206 | 1.62847 (0.01119) | X314 | 0.78814 (0.01293) | X379 | -0.35067 (0.00901) |
| X103 | 0.76540 (0.03423) | X207 | 0.28937 (0.00418) | X320 | 0.55630 (0.00518) | X381 | 1.06166 (0.00659) |
| X115 | -0.59498 (0.01112) | X208 | 0.52057 (0.00524) | X321 | -0.92478 (0.01276) |  |  |

## Concluding remarks

- Numerical estimates:
- Typical integral is composed of 80,000 lines of FORTRAN code.
- Rough estimates of time-scale for each diagram:
- 10-20 min. for code generation
- $10^{6}$ sampling points $\times 20$ iterations take 5-7 hours on 32 CPU PC cluster
- To evaluate within a few percent of accuracy, it will take:
- a year for set V diagrams.
- 2-3 years for full $A_{1}^{(10)}$ contributions.
- Theoretical issues:
- To complete the automated procedure to include IR subtraction, and residual renormalization.
- To extend to general diagrams with lepton loops.

