W + jet production at CDF

Andrea Messina

INFN Roma

XIV International Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering

Tsukuba 20-24 April 2006

OUTLINE

- Why boson + jet
- W + jet measurement
  - ✓ observable definition
  - ✓ background estimate
  - ✓ σ(W+jet) results
  - ✓ systematic errors
- conclusions & plans

Motivation I

- ✓ Boson + jet is the signature for a number of high p_T physics processes:
  - Top pair & single top production
  - Higgs boson searches
  - Searches for super-symmetric particles
- ✓ All these signals are overwhelmed by a large QCD production of boson + jet
- ✓ It is crucial to have a good understanding of such a process

Motivation II

Testing ground for pQCD in multijet environment

- ✓ The presence of a boson:
  - Ensures high Q^2 - pQCD
  - Large BR into leptons - easy to detect experimentally
- ✓ Study the underlying event in an alternative topology than inclusive jets
- ✓ Key sample to test LO and NLO calculations
  - Pythia, Herwig: shower, ME(W & W + 1parton)
  - AlpGen, Sherpa, MadGraph: W + multi-parton ME & matching algorithm (ckkw/MLM) with shower
  - CompHep, Gr@PPA: W + multi-parton ME with shower
  - MCFM: NLO ME W + 1 or 2 partons
  - MC@NLO: W+X (NLO ME + herwig shower)

Experiment apparatus



- p-pbar collisions, 36 bunches-396 ns
  $\sqrt{s}$=1.96 TeV (RunI 1.8)
- record peak Lumuminosity
  1.7×10^{32} [$cm^{-2}s^{-1}$]
- ≈ 1.6 fb^{-1} delivered
- ≈ 25 pb^{-1}/week

- Silicon detector (SVX):
  top event b-tag: ~55%
- COT: drift chamber
  Coverage: $|\eta|<1$
  $\sigma_{Pt} / P_T \sim 0.15\% P_T$
- Calorimeters:
  Coverage: $|\eta|<3.6$
  EM: $\sigma_E / E \sim 14\% / \sqrt{E}$
  HAD: $\sigma_E / E \sim 80\% / \sqrt{E}$
- Muon:
  muon ID up-to $|\eta|=1.5$



σ(W+≥ N jets) vs LO QCD





LO calculation:

rate uncertainty ≈50%

shape ≈20%

Naïve:

(W+n parton ME)+(PS) ≈ W + ≥ Njet

(W+(n+1) parton ME)+(PS) ≈ W + ≥ N+1jet

……..



2 topologies ≈ O(a)

Same jet multiplicity

double counting

dep. on parton-level cuts

W + jet measurement definition

- ✓ σ(W->eν + jet) vs jet E_T, jet-jet DR and invariant mass.
- ✓ Be as much as possible independent of theoretical models.

$$\frac{\delta\sigma\left[\begin{array}{l} P_T^e > 20, M_T > 20 \\ P_T^\nu > 30, \eta^e < 1.1 \end{array}\right]}{\delta E_T^j}$$

→ Restrict W xsec to the measurable phase space

→ Jets corrected hadron level
JETCLU 0.4
E_T^{corr}>15 GeV; $|\eta|$<2.0

- ✓ This is not an EWK measurement: the W is a clean signal for high Q^2 events within which we can examine jet kinematics.

W + jet measurement definition

High P_T electron trigger 320pb^{-1} $\Rightarrow$ Identify W, reconstruct jets

- ✓ In each bin of the jet E_T distribution compute:

$$\sigma = \frac{N^{cand} - N^{bkgd}}{A \bullet \varepsilon_{ID} \bullet L}$$

- ✓ Background: QCD, W->τν, Z->ee, WW, top, extra interactions
- ✓ Acceptance largely independent of theoretical model
- ✓ Never rely on MC for rates, for shapes assign a model dependence error by comparing to data or largely vary MC parameters.

Acceptance and Efficiency

- ✓ Define xsec phase space as the W detector acceptance
  - Events migrate across acceptance boundary: convolution of local shape and resolution
- ✓ Use MC for acceptance and electron ID efficiency
  - Systematic on ID efficiency comparing Z MC and data
  - Systematic on acceptance from different MC models

$$A \bullet \varepsilon_{ID} \approx 0.6 \pm 0.03$$

largely flat as function of jet kin

Background

- QCD from fake electron (antielectron)
- Use MC for EWK & top
- Relative normalization from ME_T fit to data
- Excellent agreement with data in other kinematic variables





Systematics:

- antielectron statistic
- antielectron model (5-20%)
- Top cross section (10-20%)
- MC model (5%)

CDF W+jet cross section measurement

Differential xsec wrt jet E_T in each of the 4 W+ n jet inclusive samples

Integrated xsec wrt jet E_T in each of the 4 W+ n jet inclusive samples





MC have been normalized to inclusive data cross section in each jet sample!

CDF W+jet cross section measurement

Differential xsec wrt di-jet invariant mass in the W+ 2 jet inclusive samples

Differential xsec wrt di-jet ΔR in the W+ 2 jet inclusive samples





MC have been normalized to measured W+2 jet inclusive cross section!

Background breakdown in jet E_T





- ✓ QCD gives a substantial contribution to the background fraction
- ✓ In the tail of the distribution (high jet multpl., High E_T) top is dominant

Promotion background (small contribution at low E_T):

- ✓ extra interaction produce jet not associated to the W -> wrong W jet-multipl.
- ✓ Estimate extra jet rate in MB, correct data on average as a function of # vtx

Error breakdown

A representative behavior of the errors in the measurements

Errors on leading jet $\delta\sigma/\delta E_T$



Errors on leading jet $\int_E \delta\sigma/\delta E_T$



At high E_T large statistic uncertainty. Systematic dominated by jet energy scale(≈3%) at low E_T, by background subtraction at high E_T.

Work in progress and Plans

- ✓ Extend the measurement muons and to 1fb^{-1}:
  - Larger E_T range, more sensitive to the tail of the cross section
  - Better control on data driven background subtraction

- ✓ Measure Z+jet cross section and study the underlying event: it is and it will be a crucial issue in the LHC era







Conclusions

New measurements of σ(W+jets) vs jet kinematics with 320pb^{-1}
These measurements more suitable for data/theory comparison:

- Hadron level measurement
- Reduced model dependence on acceptance/efficiency

LO/NLO MC calculation

- Are not exact, may work in different regimes
- parameters need to be tuned on data



The systematic on many high p_T measurements receives substantial contribution from boson+jet knowledge, crucial to have a robust simulation of boson+jets to explore for new physics at Tevatron & LHC

Jet correction and systematics

Jet definition:
JetClu (cone based)
E_T^{corr} > 15GeV $|\eta|<2$



Calorimeter jets, correct:
✓resolution & efficiency
✓pile-up interactions
(<3.6> interactions @ $10^{32}cm^{-2}s^{-1}$)

Hadron jets account for:
✓underlying event
✓fragmentation/hadronization

- Jet corrected to hadron level, systematic < 3%
- Resolution and jet spectrum dependence addressed with additional unfolding on corrected jet E_T distribution