α_s determination at LEP

Thorsten Wengler University of Manchester DIS'06, Tsukuba, Japan

Bibliography

- ALEPH
 - Studies of QCD at e⁺e⁻ Centre-of-Mass Energies between 91 and 209 GeV, Eur. Phys. J., C 35 (2004) 457.
 - Measurements of the Strong Coupling Constant and the QCD Colour Factors using Four-jet Observables from Hadronic Z Decays, Eur. Phys. J., C 27 (2003) 1.
- DELPHI
 - Measurement of the energy dependence of hadronic jet rates and the strong coupling α_s from the four-jet rate with the DELPHI detector at LEP, Eur. Phys. J. C38 (2005) 413.
 - The measurement of α_s from event shapes with the DELPHI detector at the highest LEP Eur. Phys. J. C37 (2004) 1.
 - A study of the energy evolution of event shape distributions and their means with the DELPHI detector at LEP Eur.Phys.J.C29 (2003) 285.
- L3
 - Determination of α_s from Hadronic Event Shapes in e⁺e⁻ Annihilation at CME from 192 to 208 GeV, Phys. Lett. B536/3-4 (2002) 217.
 - Studies of Hadronic Event Structure in e⁺e[−] Annihilation from 30 GeV to 209 GeV with the L3 Detector, Phys. Rep. 399 (2004) 71.
- OPAL
 - Measurement of the Strong Coupling α_s from Four-Jet Observables in e⁺e⁻ annihilation, CERN-PH-EP/2005-057, Submitted to Eur. Phys. J.C
 - Determination of α_s Using Jet Rates at LEP with the OPAL Detector, Eur. Phys. J. C45 (2006) 547.
 - Measurement of event shape distributions and moments in e+e -> hadrons at 91-209 GeV and a determination of a_s, Eur. Phys. J. C40 (2005) 287.
- Recent Review
 - S. Kluth: Tests of Quantum Chromo Dynamics at e+e- Colliders. MPP-2006-19, hep-ex/0603011, submitted to IOP Reports on Progress in Physics



Combined results taken from this review and references therein

QCD studies at LEP: $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons



Observables

- Need observables sensitive to hard gluon radiation, but ...
- ...not sensitive to hadronisation and non-perturbative effects
 - Infrared-safe
 - Collinear-safe
- Concentrate on two types of observables in this talk
 - Event shapes: Global properties of the event calculated from the four momenta of all final state particles
 - Jet rates: Algorithms to define and count the number of jets in and event based on a resolution parameter
- Other observables include
 - Scaling violations
 - Z-lineshape observables
 - σ_L / σ_{Tot}

Event shape observables

Example: Thrust



Jet rate observables



- Algorithm with resolution parameter to group particles into jets,
 - \rightarrow i.e. for the Durham algorithm:

$$y_{ij} = \frac{2\min\left\{E_i^2, E_j^2\right\}(1 - \cos\theta_{ij})}{E_{vis}^2}$$

- → combine particles of smallest y_{ij} until $y_{ij} > y_{cut}$ for all pairs
- Experimental observables
 - Probability of finding n jets, R_n(y_{cut}), or its derivative D_n
 - Mean number of jets <N>(y_{cut})

QCD predictions for three jet observables

Fixed order ME calculations for the distribution R(y) of any event shape y yield good results if single hard gluon radiation dominates:

$$R(y) = 1 + \mathcal{A}(y)\alpha_s + \mathcal{B}(y)\alpha_s^2$$

For softer/collinear configurations (2-jet limit) new divergent terms appear and need to be re-summed:

$$\ln \mathrm{R} = \mathrm{L}\,\mathrm{g}_1(lpha_\mathrm{s}\,\mathrm{L}) + \mathrm{g}_2(lpha_\mathrm{s}\,\mathrm{L}) + \dots$$

- Match ("mod. Log R") to get the best perturbative prediction
 - Available for (1-T), M_H , B_T , B_W , C, y_{23} , jet rates → these are the observables of choice
- Parton shower + hadronisation model for non-pert. effects
 - Hadron level predictions

Experimental procedure

- Need to select $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z/\gamma \rightarrow qq$, qqg, qqgg, qqq, ... events
 - Reject events with radiation from initial state (isolated energetic γ)
 - Reject WW and ZZ events (likelihood variables)
- Determine detector-level event shapes and jet rates, then use MC to correct for background, efficiency, detector effects
 Hadron level quantities
- Fit hadron level theory prediction to the data to determine α_s

QCD fits to event shapes and jet rates



α_s from event shapes and jet rates (3-jet)

0.2	[-]		$\alpha_{\rm S}(m_{\rm Z^0})$ ± stat. ± exp. ± had. ± theo.
		ALEPH	$0.1201 \pm 0.0002 \pm 0.0007 \pm 0.0020 \pm 0.0050$
α_{s}		DELPHI	$0.1216 \pm 0.0002 \pm 0.0021 \pm 0.0024 \pm 0.0056$
5	k {† − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −	L3	$0.1211 \pm 0.0008 \pm 0.0014 \pm 0.0035 \pm 0.0050$
0.18		OPAL	$0.1193 \pm 0.0002 \pm 0.0007 \pm 0.0024 \pm 0.0047$
		LEP	$0.1204 \pm 0.0002 \pm 0.0006 \pm 0.0025 \pm 0.0051$
			$\alpha_{\rm S}(133 \text{ GeV}) \pm \text{ stat.} \pm \text{ exp.} \pm \text{ had.} \pm \text{ theo.}$
		ALEPH	$0.1156 \pm 0.0028 \pm 0.0008 \pm 0.0014 \pm 0.0048$
0.16		L3	$0.1133 \pm 0.0023 \pm 0.0018 \pm 0.0024 \pm 0.0048$
0.10		OPAL	$0.1091 \pm 0.0033 \pm 0.0033 \pm 0.0016 \pm 0.0036$
		LEP	$0.1124 \qquad \pm \ 0.0017 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0018 \pm 0.0043$
			$\alpha_{\rm S}(177 \text{ GeV}) \pm \text{ stat.} \pm \text{ exp.} \pm \text{ had.} \pm \text{ theo.}$
0 14		ALEPH	$0.1106 \pm 0.0024 \pm 0.0008 \pm 0.0010 \pm 0.0040$
0.14		DELPHI	$0.1093 \pm 0.0035 \pm 0.0020 \pm 0.0017 \pm 0.0043$
	L H	L3	$\begin{array}{ll} 0.1077 & \pm \ 0.0020 \pm 0.0014 \pm 0.0018 \pm 0.0045 \end{array}$
		OPAL	$0.1074 \pm 0.0010 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0009 \pm 0.0032$
0.12		LEP	$\begin{array}{cc} 0.1081 & \pm \ 0.0013 \pm 0.0009 \pm 0.0013 \pm 0.0040 \end{array}$
0.12			$\alpha_{\rm S}(197~{\rm GeV})\pm$ stat. \pm exp. \pm had. \pm theo.
		ALEPH	$0.1075 \pm 0.0012 \pm 0.0009 \pm 0.0008 \pm 0.0036$
		DELPHI	$0.1083 \pm 0.0013 \pm 0.0021 \pm 0.0017 \pm 0.0042$
0.1		L3	$0.1124 \pm 0.0007 \pm 0.0013 \pm 0.0014 \pm 0.0046$
0.1	$\alpha_{\rm S}({\rm M_Z})=0.1210\pm0.0022$	OPAL	$0.1074 \pm 0.0010 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0009 \pm 0.0032$
		LEP	$\begin{array}{ll} 0.1085 & \pm \ 0.0006 \pm \ 0.0007 \pm \ 0.0011 \pm \ 0.0038 \end{array}$
	0 25 50 75 100 125 150 175 200		$\alpha_{\rm S}(m_{\rm Z^0})$ ± stat. ± exp. ± had. ± theo.
		LEP 2	$0.1200 \pm 0.0007 \pm 0.0010 \pm 0.0016 \pm 0.0048$
	VS[GeV]	LEP all	$0.1201 \pm 0.0005 {\pm} 0.0008 {\pm} 0.0019 {\pm} 0.0049$

LEP combined $\alpha_s(m_z)$: 0.1201±0.0053 (uncertainty dominated by theory)

$\alpha_{\rm s}$ from 4-jet rates

- Promising observable:
 - Higher sensitivity of 4-jet observables (~ α_s²) then for 3-jet observables (~ α_s)
- QCD predictions available at NLO
 - For R_4 LO is $O(\alpha_s^2)$, radiative corrections are $O(\alpha_s^3)$
 - Calculations matched with existing NLLA calculations
- Measurements performed by ALEPH, DELPHI, OPAL
 - ALEPH: Fit O(α_s³)+NLLA corrected for hadronisation and detector effects to detector level data at the Z-peak
 - DELPHI: Fit $O(\alpha_s^3)$ corrected for hadronisation with experimentally optimised scale x_u to hadron level corrected data at the Z-peak
 - OPAL: Fit O(α_s³)+NLLA corrected for hadronisation to data from 91 GeV to 209 GeV CME, α_s presented at four CME points

QCD fits to four-jet rate: ALEPH / DELPHI



T. Wengler

α_{s} from four- jet rates



Overview of $\alpha_{\rm s}$ determinations in e^+e^-



Summary

- Theoretically + experimentally well behaved observables of event shapes and jet rates
 - Direct tests of advanced perturbative QCD predictions
 - Clear demonstration of asymptotic freedom
 - Precise determination of the value of α_s

$\underline{\alpha_s}$ from 3-jets observables

- Reliable and precise results based on NLO+NLLA
- Consistent with world average(s)
- May be able to reduce total uncertainty to ~ 2 % using NNLO (from 5% now)

$\underline{\alpha_s}$ from 4-jets rate

- Results available based on NLO+NLLA
- Precision reached is comparable to most precise determinations available today

World averages

Bethke '04: 0.1184 ± 0.0027 PDG '04: 0.1187 ± 0.0020

QCD fits to four-jet rate: OPAL



T. Wengler