## Measurement of Charm and Beauty Dijet Cross Sections in Photoproduction at HERA using the H1 Vertex Detector

Lars Finke April, 22<sup>nd</sup> 2006





DIS 2006, Tsukuba, Japan Heavy Flavours Working Group

# **Motivation**

## \* Aims of this analysis:

- → Measurement of charm & beauty dijets in high p<sub>t</sub> photoproduction at HERA.
- → Ability to reach the high  $p_t$  regime ( $p_t > 2m_b$ ).
- Inclusive measurement using impact parameter of tracks. (Reconstructed with the H1 silicon vertex detector)



Central Silicon Tracker (CST)

- Measurement
- → Differential charm & beauty dijet cross sections.
- → Heavy Quark fractions.
- Heavy Quark enriched data sample.

- To be submitted to Eur., Phys., J. C in April 2006

# Photoproduction of Charm & Beauty at HERA





#### Theory models:

#### Hard scale provided by...

- \* heavy quark masses.
- \* p<sub>t</sub><sup>c,b</sup>
  - (event selection  $p_t^{jet} > 11$  (8) GeV).

#### LO (α<sub>s</sub>) + Parton Shower:

- \* DGLAP evolution, incl. flavour excitation **PYTHIA**
- \* CCFM evolution,  $\gamma g \rightarrow QQ$ CASCADE

#### NLO (α<sub>s</sub><sup>2</sup>) calculations:

\* Fixed order massive; c, b produced pert. FMNR



20



# **Heavy Flavor Signal Extraction**

\* Using significances of two highest significance tracks.

- \* S<sub>1</sub>: Highest significance track for 1 track events.
- \* S<sub>2</sub>: Significance of the second highest significance track for >1 track events.

\* Subtract negative side in  $S_1 \& S_2$  from positive.

\* Fit scale factors for c, b, uds from subtracted spectra. (+ total number of events)





# **Heavy Flavor Signal Extraction**

\* Using significances of two highest significance tracks.

- \* S<sub>1</sub>: Highest significance track for 1 track events.
- \* S<sub>2</sub>: Significance of the second highest significance track for >1 track events.

\* Subtract negative side in  $S_1 \& S_2$  from positive.

\* Fit scale factors for c, b, uds from subtracted spectra. (+ total number of events)



#### Kinematic range:

\*  $Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$  \*  $p_t^{\text{jet}} > 11$  (8) GeV \* 0.15 < y < 0.8 \* -0.9 <  $\eta^{\text{jet}} < 1.3$ 

# **Total Integrated Cross Section**

-		Charm [pb]	Beauty [pb]
_	Data	$702 \pm 67(stat.) \pm 95(syst.)$	$150 \pm 17(stat.) \pm 33(syst.)$
(massi	ive) FMNR	$500^{+173}_{-99}$	$83^{+19}_{-14}$
	PYTHIA	484	76
	CASCADE	438	80

## NLO QCD:

- → FMNR corrected to hadron level (5-10%).
- → Charm: FMNR somewhat lower, but consistent with (large) theoretical uncertainties.
- → **Beauty**: FMNR lower by factor 1.8 (1.6  $\sigma$ ).

# LO QCD:

➔ Pythia and Cascade similar low in normalization as FMNR.

 $\frac{d\sigma/dp_t^{\ jet1}}{(ep \rightarrow ecc(bb)X \rightarrow ejjX)}$ 

 $Q^2 < 1 \ GeV^2$ , 0.15 < y < 0.8,  $p_t^{jet} > 11$  (8) GeV,  $-0.9 < \eta^{jet} < 1.3$ 



→ Highest p, region ever reached at HERA for charm & beauty jets.

- → Charm: Larger theory errors, data consistent with NLO. MC models similar to FMNR.
- → Beauty: Data somewhat higher than all QCD models. Shape well described.



$$\frac{d\sigma/dx_{\gamma}^{obs}}{(ep \rightarrow ecc(bb)X \rightarrow ejjX)}$$

$$x_{\gamma}^{obs} = \frac{\sum_{jet_1, jet_2} (E - P_z)}{\sum_{hadrons} (E - P_z)}$$

 $Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ , 0.15 < y < 0.8,  $p_t^{\text{jet}} > 11$  (8) GeV, -0.9 <  $\eta^{\text{jet}} < 1.3$ 



- Data has significant resolved-like component (x<sub>γ</sub><sup>obs</sup> < 0.85). Shape nicely described by Pythia, Cascade too hard.</p>
- Charm: Large  $x_{\gamma}^{obs}$  well described by FMNR.
- **Beauty**: FMNR much too low at small  $x_{\gamma}^{obs}$ .

 $d\sigma/dp_t^{jet1}$ (ep  $\rightarrow$  ecc(bb)X  $\rightarrow$  ejjX)

 $Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ , 0.15 < y < 0.8,  $p_t^{jet} > 11$  (8) GeV, -0.9 <  $\eta^{jet} < 1.3$ 



- → Charm: FMNR gives good description of both, normalization and shape.
- **Beauty**: Data significantly better described by NLO QCD than for whole region of  $x_{v}^{obs}$ .
- → MC models fall below FMNR and data.



# Heavy Quark Fractions

Fractions normalized to measured flavor inclusive dijet cross sections.



- → Relative charm and beauty fractions increase towards large  $x_{\gamma}^{obs}$ . (where direct photon-gluon processes dominate)
- Constant fractions in the region  $x_{\gamma}^{obs} > 0.85$ .
- → For  $x_{\gamma}^{obs}$  > 0.85: measured ratio is  $f^{c\bar{c}}/f^{b\bar{b}}$ =5.1±1.1(*stat*.)

Consistent with expectation from **naïve quark charge counting** assuming **all quarks to be massless**.



Measurement of charm and beauty dijet cross sections in photoproduction using the H1 Vertex Detector at HERA.

To be submitted to Eur., Phys., J. C in April 2006

#### \* Charm:

 Data consistent with NLO calculations (normalization and shape) taking the (large) theory uncertainties into account.

### \* Beauty:

- → Data found somewhat (1.6  $\sigma$ ) higher than NLO prediction. Shape well described.
- → Main differences seen at low  $x_v^{obs}$ .
- → For high  $x_{\gamma}^{obs}$  differential cross sections as functions of  $p_t$  and η (not shown in talk) seen to be consistent with NLO.

## \* Fractions:

- → Relative charm and beauty fractions seen to be constant.
- → Measured charm and beauty fractions at high  $x_{\gamma}^{obs}$  consistent with values 4/11 and 1/11, i.e. the naïve expectation for the bgf process for massless quarks.



 $\frac{d\sigma/d\overline{\eta}}{(ep \rightarrow ecc(bb)X \rightarrow ejjX)}$ 

 $Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ , 0.15 < y < 0.8,  $p_t^{jet} > 11$  (8) GeV, -0.9 <  $\eta^{jet} < 1.3$ 



→ Mean pseudo-rapidity of the two leading jets  $\overline{\eta}$ .

- → Charm: Larger theory errors, data consistent with NLO. MC models similar to FMNR.
- → Beauty: Data somewhat higher than all QCD models. Shape well described.

# $\label{eq:star} \begin{array}{l} d\sigma/d\overline{\eta} \\ (ep \rightarrow ecc(bb)X \rightarrow ejjX) \end{array}$

 $Q^2 < 1 \ GeV^2$ , 0.15 < y < 0.8,  $p_t^{jet} > 11$  (8) GeV, -0.9 <  $\eta^{jet} < 1.3$ 

Supress contributions from resolved photon processes:  $x_{v}^{obs} > 0.85$ **CHARM BEAUTY** dg/dft [pb] do/dŋ [pb] 800  $ep \rightarrow ec\overline{c}X \rightarrow ejjX$  $ep \rightarrow eb\overline{b}X \rightarrow ejjX$ Data Data 100 **Pythia Pvthia H1 H1** Cascade Cascade 600 - NLO QCD⊗had NLO QCD  $\otimes$  had 400  $x_{v}^{obs} > 0.85$  $x_{v}^{obs} > 0.85$ 50 200 0 -0.5 -0.5 0.5 0.5 0 1 0 η η

- → Charm: Data consistent with FMNR.
- **Beauty**: Data nicely described by NLO QCD (better than for whole region of  $x_{v}^{obs}$ ).
- → MC models fall below FMNR and data.



\* FMNR: fixed order massive calculation: BGF + HO.



\* Calculations done using CTEQ5F3, GRV-HO and  $m_c = 1.5$  GeV,  $m_h = 4.75$  GeV.

\* Scales: 
$$\mu_r = \mu_f = m_t = \sqrt{m_q^2 + p_{t,q\overline{q}}^2}$$

- \*  $p_t$  weighted  $k_t$  clustering jet algorithm used.
- \* Perturbative uncertainties estimated by variation of the scales  $\mu_f$  and  $\mu_r$  (½ 2).
- \* Parameter uncertainties estimated by variations of the quark masses and the pdf.
- \* Parton to hadron corrections done using PYTHIA.
- \* Total uncertainties: 30 35 % for charm and 20 25 % beauty.