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## Asymmetry Measurements



The structure functions can be extracted from the measured asymmetries

$$
\mathbf{g}_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Q}^{2}\right)=\mathbf{F}_{1}\left[\mathbf{A}_{1}+\gamma \mathbf{A}_{2}\right] /\left[1+\gamma^{2}\right], \gamma=\mathbf{Q}^{2} / v^{2}
$$

## Why is $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ interesting

- Describes the spin structure of a nucleon, which depends on the $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ of the process
- Low $\mathrm{Q}^{2}\left(<0.2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right) \rightarrow$ Nucleon-Meson degrees of freedom
- Medium $Q^{2}\left(<1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right) \rightarrow$ Nucleon Resonances, Constituent Quarks
- Large $\mathrm{Q}^{2}\left(>2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{pQCD}$, gluon radiation
- Very high $\mathrm{Q}^{2}(\rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow$ Parton Model, free quarks



## Quark-Hadron duality

- An observation that the hadronic and partonic degrees of freedom can sometimes both be successfully used to describe the structure of hadrons is called quark-hadron duality.
- It was discovered experimentally by Bloom and Gilman (Phys.Rev.Lett. 25 (1970) 1140) who observed that the spin averaged structure function $F_{2}\left(v, Q^{2}\right)$ measured in the resonance region was on average equivalent to the deep inelastic one, if averaged over the variable $\mathrm{w}^{\prime}=\left(2 \mathrm{Mv}+\mathrm{M}^{2}\right) / \mathrm{Q}^{2}$
- In the QCD-based approach, the moments of structure functions in the low and high $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ regions are related to each other. The $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ dependence of the moments reflects the perturbative evolution of the single quark scattering, and the interaction between the struck quark and the remainder of the target.
- The moments of $F_{2}$ taken in the low $Q^{2}$ and the DIS region were shown to be equivalent within a given range and precision of the data (Phys.Lett.B 64 (1976) 428) ), leading to the conclusion that the multiparton interactions terms were small or canceling in the calculation.


## Quantifying the quark-hadron duality

- Quark-hadron duality can be quantified by considering partial moments of the resonance structure functions at fixed $Q^{2}$ :

$$
\int_{\xi} F_{2}{ }^{p}\left(\xi, Q^{2}\right) d \xi, \quad \xi=2 x /\left(1+\left(1+4 m^{2} x^{2} / Q^{2}\right)^{-0.5}\right)
$$

- Such moments can be compared to the integrals of the 'scaling' structure functions in the same range of $\xi$ and at the same $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$
- The resonance data can be compared with the PDF based fits at the same values of ( $\xi, \mathrm{Q}^{2}$ ), and with the phenomenological fits to DIS scattering at high $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$, with the $\xi$ values corresponding to those of the resonance data.
- The equivalence of the moments of structure functions at high and low $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ is referred to as 'global' duality, if the integration is taken over the whole resonance region. If the averaging is taken over the restricted resonance regions, the 'local' duality is tested.


## Duality for $g_{1}$ ?

- A recent study of the quark-hadron duality was reported by Niculescu et. al (Phys.Rev.Lett 85, 1186 (2000)), who used the high statistical precision data from Jefferson Lab to perform the global and local duality studies. The global and local duality, centered on three resonance regions $\left(\Delta \mathrm{P}_{33}(1232), \mathrm{S}_{11}(1535), \mathrm{F}_{15}(1680)\right.$ ) were verified at $10 \%$ level for $\mathrm{Q}^{2}>1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
- Duality for the spin structure function $g_{1}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)$ has not been as well investigated, and can help to understand the transition region from the large $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ region to the $\mathrm{Q}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ region, where the Gerasimov-DrellHearn sum rule applies.
- The onset of duality for $g_{1}$ is expected to be at a larger $Q^{2}$ than for $F_{2}$ because of the strong $Q^{2}$ dependence of $g_{1}$ at low $Q^{2}$, needed by the GDH sum rule.


## Experiment ('EG2000')

- Measured double spin asymmetry $\mathrm{A}_{\|}$
- Longitudinally polarized electrons Polarization ~ $70 \%$
Beam energies: 1.6, 2.5, 4.2, 5.7 GeV
- Polarized solid ammonia targets
${ }^{15} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (polarization $\sim 70-90 \%$ )
${ }^{15} \mathrm{ND}_{3}$ (polarization $\sim 10-30 \%$ )
- Unpolarized targets

$$
{ }^{12} \mathrm{C},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}
$$

- CLAS in Hall B

Multi-particle final states

- Wide coverage in $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ and W

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.05<\mathrm{Q}^{2}<4.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \\
& 0.8<\mathrm{W}<3.0 \mathrm{GeV}
\end{aligned}
$$

- 2000/2001 run: 23 billion triggers


Good coverage of the resonance region, some coverage of the DIS region

## Asymmetry Analysis

- Raw inclusive double spin asymmetry is measured
$A_{\mathrm{raw}}=\left[\mathrm{N}^{+} / \mathrm{Q}^{+}-\mathrm{N}^{-} / \mathrm{Q}^{-}\right] /\left[\mathrm{N}^{+} / \mathrm{Q}^{+}+\mathrm{N}^{-} / \mathrm{Q}^{-}\right]$
$\mathrm{N}^{+/-} \quad$ Counts with beam/target spins anti-aligned(-) or aligned(+)
Q ${ }^{+/-}$Integrated beam charge
- Physics asymmetry $A_{\|}$is obtained
$A_{\|}=C_{\text {back }} A_{\text {raw }} / P_{b} P_{t} / D F$
$\mathrm{C}_{\text {back }}$ correction for the pion and $\mathrm{e}^{+} / \mathrm{e}^{-}$contamination
$P_{b} P_{t}$ product of beam and target polarization
DF Dilution factor (to correct for the unpolarized materials in the target)
- Radiative corrections are applied

Internal RC: Kuchto and Shumeiko
External RC: Tsai
$A_{\|}{ }^{\text {Born }}=A_{\|}^{\text {meas }} / F_{R C}+A_{R C}$

- Desired photon-nucleon asymmetries
$A_{1}+\eta A_{2}=A_{\|}^{\text {Born }} / D, \quad D\left(R=\sigma_{L} / \sigma_{T}\right.$, kinematic factors $)$


## Background Subtraction

${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ data is fit with ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ data to determine a and b : $\sigma_{15}=\sigma_{12 \mathrm{C}}\left(7 \sigma_{\mathrm{D}}+1 \sigma_{\mathrm{n}}\right) / 6 \sigma_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $\sigma_{12}\left(a+b \sigma_{n} / \sigma_{D}\right)$


## Model Input

- $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ : fit to the world data in DIS region, AO model in the resonance region
- $A_{2}: g_{2}{ }^{w W}$ in the DIS region, MAID in the resonance region
- $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ : fit to the world data
- R: Recent fit from Hall C ( nuclex/0410027)






## Extraction of $A_{1}+\eta A_{2}$



## Extraction of $\mathrm{g}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)$

$$
g_{1}=F_{1}\left[A_{\|} / D+(\gamma-\eta) A_{2}\right] /\left[1+\gamma^{2}\right]
$$



## Ratio $\mathrm{g}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{F}_{1} \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)$

No clear $Q^{2}$ dependence above $\mathrm{W}=2 \mathrm{GeV}$

Below W=2 GeV, the ratio decreases with the decreasing $Q^{2}$

Above $\mathrm{W}=2 \mathrm{GeV}$, the ratio rises with $\mathrm{x} \rightarrow 1$


## Testing the onset of quark-hadron duality

- Compare the resonance region $g_{1}$ with the scaling $g_{1}$ in the DIS region, and the PDF-based pQCD global fits:

1. World data fit at $\mathrm{Q}^{2}=10 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ (Phys.Lett. B493 $\left.(2000), 19\right)$
2. pQCD NLO std. calculation (GRSV) (Phys.Rev.D 63 (2001), 094005)
3. pQCD NLO calculation (AAC) (Phys.Rev.D 69 (2004) 054021)

- Target mass corrections were applied to the pQCD models according to the formulas of J.Blumlein and A.Tkabladze (Nucl.Phys.B 553, 427 (1999))
- Can quantify duality though the ratio of partial moments

$$
R\left(Q^{2}\right) \equiv I^{R E S} / D^{D I S} \equiv \int_{\xi} \mathrm{g}_{1}{ }^{R E S}\left(\xi, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi / \int_{\xi} \mathrm{g}_{1} \mathrm{DIS}\left(\xi, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi
$$

where the integration is performed over the entire resonance region (global duality), and the three lowest lying resonances individually (local duality)

- Can also test the effect of including the elastic contribution, given by

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{e} \mid}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)+\tau \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)\right] / 2(1+\tau), \quad \tau=\mathrm{Q}^{2} / \mathrm{M}^{2}
$$

- Deuteron quasi-elastic contribution given by the sum of the proton and neutron elastic contributions


## $\mathrm{g}_{1}^{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}}\left(\xi, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)$ and pQCD models

$$
g_{1}{ }^{p}\left(\xi, Q^{2}\right)
$$

$g_{1}{ }^{d}\left(\xi, Q^{2}\right)$



## Partial Integrals $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)^{*} \mathrm{Q}^{2}$ (proton)

- $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{DIS}}=\int_{\xi} \mathrm{g}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{DIS}}\left(\xi, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi$
- $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{RES}}=\int_{\xi} \mathrm{g}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{RES}}\left(\xi, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi$
- Integration limits $\xi_{\text {min }}$ and $\xi_{\text {max }}$ correspond to the W limits at a given $Q^{2}$
- Systematic uncertainty in the data is ~ $6 \%$
- Systematic uncertainty of the DIS fit ~ 10 \%
- Uncertainty in the pQCD models?
- Without the elastic contribution, global duality is approached at $20 \%$ level at $Q^{2} \sim 1.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$



## Partial Integral $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)^{\star} \mathrm{Q}^{2}$ (deuteron)

- Suppression of resonant structure?
- Fermi momentum contributes to the smearing of the W resolution
- Duality appears to hold in the second and third resonance regions at $\mathrm{Q}^{2}>1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$



## Conclusions

- High statistical precision data on the $\mathrm{g}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{g}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ in the resonance region has been analyzed, complementing the existing data and extending it to lower $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ region
- The measurements of $g_{1}$ seem to support the global quark-hadron duality at the $20 \%$ level for $\mathrm{Q}^{2}>1.5$ for both the proton and the deuteron
- In the case of a proton, the local duality does not seem to hold in the first and second resonance region, with the first region showing negative asymmetry due to the $\Delta$ contribution, and the second region having a large positive asymmetry due to the negative parity resonances $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{13}$. Duality may be holding at a $20 \%$ level in the third resonance region.
- In the case of a deuteron, the local duality appears to hold in the second and third resonance regions.


## Polarized Target

Dynamic Nuclear Polarization
(DNP) $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{ND}_{3}$ target


## Beam and Target Polarization

5.7 GeV data with the proton target

The product of beam and target polarization is determined by measuring the known elastic peak asymmetry:
$\mathrm{PbPt}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{el}}{ }^{\text {meas }} / \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{\text {known }}$

For the deuteron, the quasielastic asymmetry is calculated:
$\left[\sigma_{n}{ }^{e l} A_{n}{ }^{e l}+\sigma_{p}{ }^{e l} A_{p}^{e l}\right] /\left[\sigma_{n}^{e l}+\sigma_{p}^{e l}\right]$

Inclusive or (e,e'p) events are used


## Ratio $I_{R E S} / I_{D I S}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ (proton)

- Standard version of the NLO GRSV model is used in the denominator
- At low $\mathrm{Q}^{2} \Delta$-resonance is dominated by a magnetic dipole transition ( $\mathrm{A}_{3 / 2}$ is larger than $A_{1 / 2}$ ) leading to a mostly negative contribution to the ratio
- In the second resonance region, a positive asymmetry is due to $A_{1 / 2}$ of $D_{13}$ and $S_{11}$ increasing with $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$.
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