Finite temperature QCD with SLiNC fermions

Yoshifumi Nakamura

Center for Computational Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Japan with M. Koma (Numazu) and Y. Koma (Numazu)

Japanese-German Seminar 2010 in Mishima, Nov. 4 - 6, 2010

1 Introduction

Recent results for the critical temperature T_c for $N_f=3$

$T_c [{ m MeV}]$	Fermion	observable	—
196(3)	KS	$\psi\psi$	RBC/Bielefeld [1]
170(7)	KS	L	Wuppertal [2]
146(5)	KS	$ar{\psi}\psi$	Wuppertal [2]
155 - 185	DWF	L	M. Cheng et at. [3]
171(10)(17)	DWF	$ar{\psi}\psi$	M. Cheng et at. [3]

Motivation:

• determination T_c with dynamical u-, d-, s-quarks of Wilson type fermions

- to find cheap way to get T_c at the physical point
- to test fixed $m_u + m_d + m_s$ simulations at T > 0

2 Simulation

Tree level Symanzik glue + 3 flavors of SLiNC fermions [4]

$$S_{G} = \frac{6}{g^{2}} \left[c_{0} \sum_{\text{plaq}} \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr} \left(1 - U_{\text{plaquette}} \right) + c_{1} \sum_{\text{rect}} \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr} \left(1 - U_{\text{rectangle}} \right) \right],$$

$$\frac{c_{1}}{c_{0}} = -\frac{1}{20}, \quad c_{0} + 8c_{1} = 1.$$

$$S_{F} = \sum_{x} \left\{ \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x) - \kappa \,\bar{\psi}(x)U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x - \hat{\mu})[1 + \gamma_{\mu}]\psi(x - \hat{\mu}) - \kappa \,\bar{\psi}(x)U_{\mu}(x)[1 - \gamma_{\mu}]\psi(x + \hat{\mu}) + \frac{i}{2}\kappa \,c_{\text{SW}} \,\bar{\psi}(x)\sigma_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}(x)\psi(x) \right\}$$

 U_{μ} is replaced by stout link $e^{iQ_{\mu}(x)} U_{\mu}(x)$.

$$Q_{\mu}(x) = \frac{\alpha}{2i} \left[V_{\mu}(x) U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x) - U_{\mu}(x) V_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x) - \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \left(V_{\mu}(x) U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x) - U_{\mu}(x) V_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x) \right) \right]$$

with smearing parameter $\alpha = 0.1, n = 1$. Simulations have performed by BQCD [5].

2.1 Results

 $L_s^3 \times L_t = 32^3 \times 12, \ \beta = 5.50, \ \kappa = 0.1200, \ 0.1203, \ 0.1205, \ 0.1207, \ 0.1209$ (degenerate), O(5000) trajectories. The critical point is around $\kappa = 0.1207$ (cf. $m_{PS} \sim 600$ MeV, $a \sim 0.09$ fm, $T \sim 180$ MeV). More statistics is needed.



3.1 New approach

Chiral perturbation theory, flavor singlet, e.g.

$$2m_K^2 + m_\pi^2 = 2(2B_0m_s^R + 2B_0m_l^R) + 2B_0m_l^R + 2B_0m_l^R + O((m_{q\in u,d,s}^R)^2)$$
$$= 12B_0m_q^R + O((m_{q\in u,d,s}^R)^2)$$

where
$$m_q^R = (2m_l^R + m_s^R)/3$$
.

Considering the Taylor expansion at $m_l^R = m_s^R = m_q^R$ with $\delta m_u^R + \delta m_d^R + \delta m_s^R = 0$ (for Wilson type fermion $1/\kappa_u + 1/\kappa_d + 1/\kappa_s = \text{const}$)

- $O(\delta m_{q \in u, d, s}^R)$ vanishs
- $O((\delta m_{q \in u,d,s}^R)^2)$ does not vanish





Figure 2: Some flavor singlet v.s. $(am_{\pi})^2$ with $m_u + m_d + m_s = \text{const.} X_r = 1/r_0$, $X_N = \frac{1}{3}(m_N + m_{\Sigma} + m_{\Xi}), X_{\Delta} = \frac{1}{3}(2m_{\Delta} + m_{\Omega})$ [6].



Figure 3: Polyakov loop and its susceptibility v.s. $(1/\kappa_l - 1/0.1203)$ (nondegenerate). O(500) trajectories except for one at $(1/\kappa_l - 1/0.1203)=0$.

If flavor blind quantities, flavor singlet such as Polyakov loop and chiral condensate do not depend on δm_q when $m_u + m_d + m_s = \text{const}$,

$$T_c(m_u^{phy}, m_d^{phy}, m_s^{phy}) = T_c(m_q^{sym}) \quad \text{or} \quad T_c(m_\pi^{phy}, m_K^{phy}) = T_c(m_{PS}^{sym}),$$

here $m_{PS}^{sym} = \sqrt{(2(m_K^{phy})^2 + (m_\pi^{phy})^2)/3} \sim 413 \text{ MeV}).$

4 Conclusion

W

We have performed finite temperature QCD simulations with 3 flavors of SLiNC fermions and presented preliminary results. New approach to the physical point for the critical temperature is described.

- more statistics to check $T_c(m_{\pi}, m_K) = T_c(m_{PS}^{sym}, m_{PS}^{sym})$
- more statistics and data point to determine T_c at $\beta = 5.50$, $L_t = 12$
- planing simulation for $a \to 0, m_{PS} \to 413 \text{ MeV}$



Figure 1: Polyakov loop (top left) and its susceptibility (top right) Topological charge susceptibility (bottom) as a function of κ at $L_t = 12$, $\beta = 5.50$.

3 To the physical point

Traditionally, m_{ud} is decreased with fixing m_s as the physical value. It is difficult to tune parameters. Simulations are expensive around m_{ud}^{phy} .

5 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the computer centers at KEK and RIKEN.

References

- [1] M. Cheng *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 054507.
- [2] Y. Aoki, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz and K. K. Szabo, Phys. Lett. B643 (2006) 46.
- [3] M. Cheng et al., Phys. Rev. D81 (2010) 054510.
- [4] N. Cundy et al., Phys. Rev. D79 (2009) 094507.
- [5] Y. Nakamura and H. Stüben, http://www.zib.de/stueben/bqcd/.
- [6] W. Bietenholz et al. [QCDSF-UKQCD Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B690 (2010) 436.